

Set 3:

Cosmic Dynamics

# FRW Dynamics

- This is as far as we can go on FRW geometry alone - we still need to know how the **scale factor**  $a(t)$  evolves given **matter-energy content**
- **General relativity**: matter tells geometry how to curve  
→ **scale factor** determined by **content**
- This next part is for advanced students and will not be required for problem sets or exams but included so you get a flavor of general relativity – cosmology is the simplest application of general relativity possible
- After this brief aside, we'll return to explain this by Newtonian mechanics - even for the cosmological expansion, gravity is locally Newtonian

# General Relativity

- Build the Einstein tensor  $G_{\mu\nu}$  out of the metric and use Einstein equation (overdots conformal time derivative)

$$G_{\mu\nu} (= R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R) = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$$

- Easier to work with mixed upper and lower indices since the metric  $g^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu$
- For the FRW metric

$$G^0{}_0 = -3 \left( H^2 + \frac{K}{a^2} \right)$$
$$G^i{}_j - G^0{}_0 \frac{\delta^i{}_j}{3} = -\frac{2}{a^2} \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - a^2 H^2 \right) \delta^i{}_j = -\frac{2}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} \delta^i{}_j,$$

where recall the curvature  $K = 1/R^2$  and overdots are  $d/d\eta$

# Matter as Curvature Source

- Likewise **isotropy** demands that the **stress-energy tensor** take the form

$$T^0_0 = -\rho, \quad T^i_j = p\delta^i_j \quad \rightarrow \quad T^i_j - T^0_0 \frac{\delta^i_j}{3} = p + \rho/3$$

where  $\rho$  is the **energy density** and  $p$  is the **pressure**

- It is **not** necessary to assume that the content is a **perfect fluid** - consequence of **FRW symmetry**
- This concludes our GR aside for advanced students – you are not responsible for that part

# Friedmann Equations

- Einstein equations given the FRW symmetries become the Friedmann equations

$$H^2 + \frac{K}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho$$
$$\frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3p)$$

- Acceleration source is  $\rho + 3p$  requiring  $p < -\rho/3$  for positive acceleration
- Curvature as an effective energy density component

$$\rho_K = -\frac{3}{8\pi G} \frac{K}{a^2} \propto a^{-2}$$

Positive curvature gives negative effective energy density

# Critical Density

- Friedmann equation for  $H$  then reads

$$H^2(a) = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho + \rho_K) \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_c$$

defining a **critical density** today  $\rho_c$  in terms of the expansion rate

- In particular, its value today is given by the Hubble constant as

$$\rho_c(z = 0) = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} = 1.8788 \times 10^{-29} h^2 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$$

or about  $10^{-5} h^2$  protons per  $\text{cm}^3$  - space is really empty!

- Energy density today is given as a **fraction of critical**

$$\Omega \equiv \frac{\rho}{\rho_c(z = 0)}$$

- Note that **physical** energy density  $\propto \Omega h^2$  ( $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ )

# Critical Density

- Likewise radius of **curvature** then given by

$$\Omega_K = (1 - \Omega) = -\frac{1}{H_0^2 R^2} \rightarrow R = (H_0 \sqrt{\Omega - 1})^{-1}$$

- If  $\Omega \approx 1$ , then **true density** is near **critical**  $\rho \approx \rho_c$  and

$$\rho_K \ll \rho_c \leftrightarrow H_0 R \ll 1$$

Universe is **flat** across the Hubble distance

- $\Omega > 1$  **positively** curved

$$D_A = R \sin(D/R) = \frac{1}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega - 1}} \sin(H_0 D \sqrt{\Omega - 1})$$

- $\Omega < 1$  **negatively** curved

$$D_A = R \sin(D/R) = \frac{1}{H_0 \sqrt{1 - \Omega}} \sinh(H_0 D \sqrt{1 - \Omega})$$

# Newtonian Cosmology

- Now let's try to understand the Friedmann equation from a Newtonian perspective
- First let's use energy conservation reasoning – this is not quite right, but gives you an easy way of deriving the Friedmann equation if you forget it
- Next we'll see the real Newtonian cosmology derivation which involves forces - these act locally and we don't need to consider separations where general relativity is necessary
- This gives a perfectly correct derivation of the dynamics of the scale factor and since it determines the global expansion, we evade having to work with the field equations of general relativity directly



# Newtonian Energy Interpretation


- Consider a **test particle** of mass  $m$  as part of expanding **spherical shell** of physical radius  $r(= aR)$  and total mass  $M$ .
- **Energy conservation**

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - \frac{GMm}{r} = \text{const}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{GM}{r} = \text{const}$$


$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{GM}{r^3} = \frac{\text{const}}{r^2}$$

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} - \frac{\text{const}}{a^2}$$



# Newtonian Energy Interpretation

- Constant determines whether the system is **bound** and in the Friedmann equation is associated with **curvature** – not general since **neglects pressure**
- Nonetheless Friedmann equation is the **same** with pressure - but **mass-energy** within expanding shell is **not constant**
- Instead, rely on the fact that **gravity** in the weak field regime is **Newtonian** and **forces** unlike energies are unambiguously defined **locally**.



# Newtonian Force Interpretation

- An alternate, more general Newtonian derivation, comes about by realizing that locally around an observer, **gravity** must look **Newtonian**.
- Given Newton's **iron sphere** theorem, the **gravitational acceleration** due to a spherically symmetric distribution of mass outside some radius  $r$  is zero (**Birkhoff theorem** in GR)
- We can determine the acceleration simply from the **enclosed mass**

$$\nabla \Psi_N = \frac{GM_N}{r^2} = \frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3p)r$$

where  $\rho + 3p$  reflects the **active gravitational mass** provided by pressure.

# Newtonian Force Interpretation

- Hence the gravitational acceleration

$$\frac{\ddot{r}}{r} = -\frac{1}{r}\nabla\Psi_N = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho + 3p)$$

- We'll come back to this way of viewing the effect of the expansion on the formation of structure - in particular the evolution of a spherically symmetric density perturbation

# Conservation Law

- The two **Friedmann equation** are redundant in that one can be derived from the other via **energy conservation**
  - Advanced students: consequence of **Bianchi** identities in GR:  
 $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$
  - Think of this as an adiabatically expanding gas

$$d\rho V + p dV = 0$$

$$d\rho a^3 + p da^3 = 0$$

$$\dot{\rho} a^3 + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rho a^3 + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} p a^3 = 0$$

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} = -3 \left( 1 + \frac{p}{\rho} \right) \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$

# Equation of State Parameter

- Time evolution depends on “equation of state”  $w(a) = p/\rho$
- If  $w = \text{const.}$  then the energy density depends on the scale factor as  $\rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$
- Different particle species have different equations of state
- Even non-particle species like curvature and dark energy have effective equations of state defined by the average pressure / average energy density - in these cases  $w$  does not define a real (local) equation of state of a real expanding gas

# Multicomponent Universe

Special cases:

- nonrelativistic matter  $\rho_m = mn_m \propto a^{-3}$ ,  $w_m = 0$
- ultrarelativistic radiation  $\rho_r = En_r \propto n_r/\lambda \propto a^{-4}$ ,  $w_r = 1/3$
- (cosmological) constant energy density  $\rho_\Lambda \propto a^0$ ,  $w_\Lambda = -1$
- total energy density summed over above

$$\rho(a) = \sum_i \rho_i(a) = \rho_c(a=1) \sum_i \Omega_i a^{-3(1+w_i)}$$

– again think of curvature as fictitious energy density

- curvature  $\rho_K \propto a^{-2}$ ,  $w_K = -1/3$

– again all components sum up to critical density

$$\rho_c = \rho + \rho_K \rightarrow 1 = \sum_i \Omega_i + \Omega_K$$

– likewise for  $p_c$  and  $w_c = p_c/\rho_c$

# Multicomponent Universe

- For the Friedmann equation we can always think of a multicomponent universe as a single component universe with a complicated equation of state  $w_c(a) = p_c(a)/\rho_c(a)$
- Now let's relate the two Friedmann equations with energy conservation



# Acceleration Equation

- Time derivative of (first) Friedmann equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dH^2}{dt} &= \frac{8\pi G}{3} \frac{d\rho_c}{dt} \\ 2H \left[ \frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} - H^2 \right] &= \frac{8\pi G}{3} H [-3(1 + w_c)\rho_c] \\ \left[ \frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} - 2 \frac{4\pi G}{3} \rho_c \right] &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3} [3(1 + w_c)\rho_c] \\ \frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3} [(1 + 3w_c)\rho_c] \\ &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + \rho_K + 3p + 3p_K) \\ &= -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (1 + 3w)\rho\end{aligned}$$

- Acceleration if  $w < -1/3$
- Reverse: Newtonian acceleration implies Friedmann equation

# Expansion Required

- Friedmann equations “predict” the expansion of the universe.  
Non-expanding conditions  $da/dt = 0$  and  $d^2a/dt^2 = 0$  require

$$\rho = -\rho_K \quad \rho = -3p$$

i.e. a positive curvature and a total equation of state

$$w \equiv p/\rho = -1/3$$

- Since matter is known to exist, one can in principle achieve this by adding a balancing cosmological constant

$$\rho = \rho_m + \rho_\Lambda = -\rho_K = -3p = 3\rho_\Lambda$$

$$\rho_\Lambda = -\frac{1}{3}\rho_K, \quad \rho_m = -\frac{2}{3}\rho_K$$

Einstein introduced  $\rho_\Lambda$  for exactly this reason – “biggest blunder”; but note that this balance is unstable:  $\rho_m$  can be perturbed but  $\rho_\Lambda$ , a true constant cannot

