

Astro 448

Lecture Notes *Set 3*

Wayne Hu

Covariant Perturbation Theory

- **Covariant** = takes same **form** in all coordinate systems
- **Invariant** = takes the same **value** in all coordinate systems
- Fundamental equations: **Einstein equations**, covariant **conservation** of stress-energy tensor:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

- Preserve general covariance by keeping all **degrees of freedom: 10** for each symmetric 4×4 tensor

1	2	3	4
	5	6	7
		8	9
			10

Metric Tensor

- Expand the metric tensor around the general FRW metric

$$g_{00} = -a^2, \quad g_{ij} = a^2 \gamma_{ij}.$$

where the “0” component is conformal time $\eta = dt/a$ and γ_{ij} is a spatial metric of constant curvature $K = H_0^2(\Omega_{\text{tot}} - 1)$.

- Add in a general perturbation (Bardeen 1980)

$$\begin{aligned} g^{00} &= -a^{-2}(1 - 2A), \\ g^{0i} &= -a^{-2}B^i, \\ g^{ij} &= a^{-2}(\gamma^{ij} - 2H_L\gamma^{ij} - 2H_T^{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

- (1) $A \equiv$ a scalar potential; (3) B^i a vector shift, (1) H_L a perturbation to the spatial curvature; (5) H_T^{ij} a trace-free distortion to spatial metric = (10)

Matter Tensor

- Likewise expand the matter stress energy tensor around a homogeneous density ρ and pressure p :

$$T^0_0 = -\rho - \delta\rho,$$

$$T^0_i = (\rho + p)(v_i - B_i),$$

$$T_0^i = -(\rho + p)v^i,$$

$$T^i_j = (p + \delta p)\delta^i_j + p\Pi^i_j,$$

- (1) $\delta\rho$ a density perturbation; (3) v_i a vector velocity, (1) δp a pressure perturbation; (5) Π_{ij} an anisotropic stress perturbation
- So far this is fully general and applies to any type of matter or coordinate choice including non-linearities in the matter, e.g. cosmological defects.

Counting DOF's

20	Variables (10 metric; 10 matter)
-10	Einstein equations
-4	Conservation equations
+4	Bianchi identities
-4	Gauge (coordinate choice 1 time, 3 space)
<hr/>	
6	Degrees of freedom

- Without loss of generality these can be taken to be the 6 components of the matter stress tensor
- For the background, specify $p(a)$ or equivalently $w(a) \equiv p(a)/\rho(a)$ the equation of state parameter.

Scalar, Vector, Tensor

- In linear perturbation theory, perturbations may be separated by their transformation properties under rotation and translation.
- The eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator form a complete set

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 Q^{(0)} &= -k^2 Q^{(0)} && \mathbf{S}, \\ \nabla^2 Q_i^{(\pm 1)} &= -k^2 Q_i^{(\pm 1)} && \mathbf{V}, \\ \nabla^2 Q_{ij}^{(\pm 2)} &= -k^2 Q_{ij}^{(\pm 2)} && \mathbf{T},\end{aligned}$$

- Vector and tensor modes satisfy divergence-free and transverse-traceless conditions

$$\nabla^i Q_i^{(\pm 1)} = 0$$

$$\nabla^i Q_{ij}^{(\pm 2)} = 0$$

$$\gamma^{ij} Q_{ij}^{(\pm 2)} = 0$$

Vector and Tensor Modes vs. Vector and Tensor Quantities

- A scalar mode carries with it associated vector (curl-free) and tensor (longitudinal) quantities
- A vector mode carries and associated tensor (neither longitudinal or transverse) quantities
- These are built from the mode basis out of covariant derivatives and the metric

$$Q_i^{(0)} = -k^{-1} \nabla_i Q^{(0)},$$

$$Q_{ij}^{(0)} = (k^{-2} \nabla_i \nabla_j + \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij}) Q^{(0)},$$

$$Q_{ij}^{(\pm 1)} = -\frac{1}{2k} [\nabla_i Q_j^{(\pm 1)} + \nabla_j Q_i^{(\pm 1)}],$$

Spatially Flat Case

- For a spatially flat background metric, harmonics are related to plane waves:

$$Q^{(0)} = \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

$$Q_i^{(\pm 1)} = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \pm i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2)_i \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

$$Q_{ij}^{(\pm 2)} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \pm i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2)_i (\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 \pm i\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2)_j \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \parallel \mathbf{k}$. Chosen as spin states, c.f. polarization.

- For vectors, the harmonic points in a direction orthogonal to \mathbf{k} suitable for the **vortical component** of a vector
- For tensors, the harmonic is transverse and traceless as appropriate for the decomposition of **gravitational waves**

Perturbation k -Modes

- For the k th eigenmode, the scalar components become

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{x}) &= A(k) Q^{(0)}, & H_L(\mathbf{x}) &= H_L(k) Q^{(0)}, \\ \delta\rho(\mathbf{x}) &= \delta\rho(k) Q^{(0)}, & \delta p(\mathbf{x}) &= \delta p(k) Q^{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

the vectors components become

$$B_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=-1}^1 B^{(m)}(k) Q_i^{(m)}, \quad v_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=-1}^1 v^{(m)}(k) Q_i^{(m)},$$

and the tensors components

$$H_{Tij}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=-2}^2 H_T^{(m)}(k) Q_{ij}^{(m)}, \quad \Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=-2}^2 \Pi^{(m)}(k) Q_{ij}^{(m)},$$

Homogeneous Einstein Equations

- Einstein (Friedmann) equations:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho$$
$$\frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2 a}{dt^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + 3p)$$

so that $w \equiv p/\rho < -1/3$ for acceleration

- Conservation equation $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ implies

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} = -3(1 + w) \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$

Homogeneous Einstein Equations

- Counting exercise:

20	Variables (10 metric; 10 matter)
−17	Homogeneity and Isotropy
−2	Einstein equations
−1	Conservation equations
+1	Bianchi identities
<hr/>	
1	Degree of freedom

- without loss of generality choose ratio of homogeneous & isotropic component of the **stress tensor** to the density $w(a) = p(a)/\rho(a)$.

Acceleration Implies Negative Pressure

- Role of stresses in the background cosmology
- Homogeneous Einstein equations $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$ imply the two Friedman equations (flat universe, or associating curvature $\rho_K = -3K/8\pi Ga^2$)

$$\left(\frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho$$
$$\frac{1}{a} \frac{d^2a}{dt^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + 3p)$$

so that the total equation of state $w \equiv p/\rho < -1/3$ for acceleration

- Conservation equation $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ implies

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} = -3(1 + w) \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$$

- so that ρ must scale more slowly than a^{-2}

Questions regarding Dark Energy

- **Coincidence:** given the very different scalings of matter and dark energy with a , why are they **comparable now**?
- **Stability:** why doesn't negative pressure imply **accelerated collapse**? or why doesn't the vacuum suck?
- **Answer:** stability is associated with stress (pressure) **gradients** not stress (pressure) itself.
- **Example:** the **cosmological constant** $w_\Lambda = -1$, a constant in time and space – no gradients.
- **Example:** a **scalar field** where $w = p/\rho \neq \delta p/\delta\rho = \text{sound speed}$.

Covariant Scalar Equations

- Einstein equations (suppressing 0) superscripts (Hu & Eisenstein 1999):

$$(k^2 - 3K)[H_L + \frac{1}{3}H_T + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{1}{k^2}(kB - \dot{H}_T)]$$

$$= 4\pi G a^2 \left[\delta\rho + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\rho + p)(v - B)/k \right], \quad \text{Poisson Equation}$$

$$k^2(A + H_L + \frac{1}{3}H_T) + \left(\frac{d}{d\eta} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right) (kB - \dot{H}_T)$$

$$= 8\pi G a^2 p \Pi,$$

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a}A - \dot{H}_L - \frac{1}{3}\dot{H}_T - \frac{K}{k^2}(kB - \dot{H}_T)$$

$$= 4\pi G a^2(\rho + p)(v - B)/k,$$

$$\left[2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 2\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{d}{d\eta} - \frac{k^2}{3} \right] A - \left[\frac{d}{d\eta} + \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] (\dot{H}_L + \frac{1}{3}kB)$$

$$= 4\pi G a^2(\delta p + \frac{1}{3}\delta\rho).$$

Covariant Scalar Equations

- Conservation equations: continuity and Navier Stokes

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\eta} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] \delta\rho + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \delta p = -(\rho + p)(kv + 3\dot{H}_L),$$
$$\left[\frac{d}{d\eta} + 4\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] \left[(\rho + p) \frac{(v - B)}{k} \right] = \delta p - \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - 3\frac{K}{k^2} \right) p\Pi + (\rho + p)A,$$

- Equations are not independent since $\nabla_\mu G^{\mu\nu} = 0$ via the Bianchi identities.
- Related to the ability to choose a coordinate system or “gauge” to represent the perturbations.

Covariant Scalar Equations

- DOF counting exercise

8 Variables (4 metric; 4 matter)

−4 Einstein equations

−2 Conservation equations

+2 Bianchi identities

−2 Gauge (coordinate choice 1 time, 1 space)

2 Degrees of freedom

- without loss of generality choose scalar components of the stress tensor $\delta p, \Pi$.

Covariant Vector Equations

- Einstein equations

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - 2K/k^2)(kB^{(\pm 1)} - \dot{H}_T^{(\pm 1)}) \\ &= 16\pi Ga^2(\rho + p)(v^{(\pm 1)} - B^{(\pm 1)})/k, \\ \left[\frac{d}{d\eta} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] (kB^{(\pm 1)} - \dot{H}_T^{(\pm 1)}) \\ &= -8\pi Ga^2 p \Pi^{(\pm 1)}.\end{aligned}$$

- Conservation Equations

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\frac{d}{d\eta} + 4\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] [(\rho + p)(v^{(\pm 1)} - B^{(\pm 1)})/k] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(1 - 2K/k^2)p\Pi^{(\pm 1)},\end{aligned}$$

- Gravity provides **no source** to vorticity \rightarrow **decay**

Covariant Vector Equations

- DOF counting exercise

8 Variables (4 metric; 4 matter)

−4 Einstein equations

−2 Conservation equations

+2 Bianchi identities

−2 Gauge (coordinate choice 1 time, 1 space)

2 Degrees of freedom

- without loss of generality choose vector components of the **stress tensor** $\Pi^{(\pm 1)}$.

Covariant Tensor Equation

- Einstein equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} + 2\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \frac{d}{d\eta} + (k^2 + 2K) \right] H_T^{(\pm 2)} = 8\pi G a^2 p \Pi^{(\pm 2)} .$$

- DOF counting exercise

4 Variables (2 metric; 2 matter)

−2 Einstein equations

−0 Conservation equations

+0 Bianchi identities

−0 Gauge (coordinate choice 1 time, 1 space)

2 Degrees of freedom

- wlog choose tensor components of the **stress tensor** $\Pi^{(\pm 2)}$.

Arbitrary Dark Components

- Total stress energy tensor can be broken up into **individual pieces**
- **Dark components** interact only through gravity and so satisfy **separate conservation equations**
- Einstein equation source remains the sum of components.
- To specify an arbitrary dark component, give the behavior of the **stress tensor: 6 components: $\delta p, \Pi^{(i)}$** , where $i = -2, \dots, 2$.
- Many types of dark components (dark matter, scalar fields, massive neutrinos,..) have **simple forms** for their stress tensor in terms of the energy density, i.e. described by **equations of state**.
- An equation of state for the background $w = p/\rho$ is **not sufficient** to determine the behavior of the perturbations.

Gauge

- Metric and matter fluctuations take on **different values** in different coordinate system
- No such thing as a “gauge invariant” density perturbation!
- General **coordinate transformation**:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\eta} &= \eta + T \\ \tilde{x}^i &= x^i + L^i\end{aligned}$$

free to choose (T, L^i) to simplify equations or physics.

Decompose these into scalar and vector harmonics.

- $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ transform as **tensors**, so components in different frames can be related

Gauge Transformation

- Scalar Metric:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A} &= A - \dot{T} - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}T, \\ \tilde{B} &= B + \dot{L} + kT, \\ \tilde{H}_L &= H_L - \frac{k}{3}L - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}T, \\ \tilde{H}_T &= H_T + kL,\end{aligned}$$

- Scalar Matter (J th component):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\tilde{\rho}_J &= \delta\rho_J - \dot{\rho}_J T, \\ \delta\tilde{p}_J &= \delta p_J - \dot{p}_J T, \\ \tilde{v}_J &= v_J + \dot{L},\end{aligned}$$

- Vector:

$$\tilde{B}^{(\pm 1)} = B^{(\pm 1)} + \dot{L}^{(\pm 1)}, \quad \tilde{H}_T^{(\pm 1)} = H_T^{(\pm 1)} + kL^{(\pm 1)}, \quad \tilde{v}_J^{(\pm 1)} = v_J^{(\pm 1)} + \dot{L}^{(\pm 1)},$$

Common Scalar Gauge Choices

- A coordinate system is fully specified if there is an explicit prescription for (T, L^i) or for scalars (T, L)
- Newtonian:

$$\tilde{B} = \tilde{H}_T = 0$$

$$\Psi \equiv \tilde{A} \quad (\text{Newtonian potential})$$

$$\Phi \equiv \tilde{H}_L \quad (\text{Newtonian curvature})$$

$$L = -H_T/k$$

$$T = -B/k + \dot{H}_T/k^2$$

Good: intuitive Newtonian like gravity; matter and metric algebraically related; commonly chosen for analytic CMB and lensing work

Bad: numerically unstable

Example: Newtonian Reduction

- In the general equations, set $B = H_T = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}(k^2 - 3K)\Phi &= 4\pi G a^2 \left[\delta\rho + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(\rho + p)v/k \right] \\ k^2(\Psi + \Phi) &= 8\pi G a^2 p\Pi\end{aligned}$$

so $\Psi = -\Phi$ if anisotropic stress $\Pi = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\frac{d}{d\eta} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] \delta\rho + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \delta p &= -(\rho + p)(kv + 3\dot{\Phi}), \\ \left[\frac{d}{d\eta} + 4\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right] (\rho + p)v &= k\delta p - \frac{2}{3}\left(1 - 3\frac{K}{k^2}\right)p k\Pi + (\rho + p) k\Psi,\end{aligned}$$

- Competition between **stress** (pressure and viscosity) and **potential gradients**

Common Scalar Gauge Choices

- Comoving:

$$\tilde{B} = \tilde{v} \quad (T_i^0 = 0)$$

$$H_T = 0$$

$$\xi = \tilde{A}$$

$$\zeta = \tilde{H}_L \quad (\text{Bardeen curvature})$$

$$\Delta = \tilde{\delta} \quad (\text{comoving density pert})$$

$$T = (v - B)/k$$

$$L = -H_T/k$$

Good: Algebraic relations between matter and metric

- Euler equation becomes an algebraic relation between stress and potential

$$(\rho + p)\xi = -\delta p + \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{3K}{k} \right) p\Pi$$

Common Scalar Gauge Choices

- Einstein equation lacks momentum density source

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\xi - \dot{\zeta} - \frac{K}{k^2}kv = 0$$

- Combine: ζ is conserved if stress fluctuations negligible, e.g. above the horizon if $|K| \ll H^2$

$$\dot{\zeta} + Kv/k = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \left[-\frac{\delta p}{\rho + p} + \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{3K}{k^2} \right) \frac{p}{\rho + p} \Pi \right] \rightarrow 0$$

Bad: explicitly relativistic choice

Common Scalar Gauge Choices

- Synchronous:

$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{B} = 0$$

$$\eta_L \equiv -\tilde{H}_L - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{H}_T$$

$$h_T = \tilde{H}_T \quad \text{or} \quad h = 6H_L$$

$$T = a^{-1} \int d\eta a A + c_1 a^{-1}$$

$$L = - \int d\eta (B + kT) + c_2$$

Good: stable, the choice of numerical codes

Bad: residual **gauge freedom** in constants c_1, c_2 must be specified as an initial condition, intrinsically relativistic.

Common Scalar Gauge Choices

- Spatially Unperturbed:

$$\tilde{H}_L = \tilde{H}_T = 0$$

$$L = -H_T/k$$

$$\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} = \text{metric perturbations}$$

$$T = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^{-1} \left(H_L + \frac{1}{3}H_T\right)$$

Good: eliminates spatial metric in evolution equations; useful in inflationary calculations ([Mukhanov et al](#))

Bad: intrinsically relativistic.

- **Caution:** perturbation evolution is governed by the behavior of stress fluctuations and an isotropic stress fluctuation δp is gauge dependent.

Hybrid “Gauge Invariant” Approach

- With the gauge transformation relations, express variables of **one gauge** in terms of those in **another** – allows a mixture in the equations of motion
- **Example:** Newtonian curvature and comoving density

$$(k^2 - 3K)\Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \rho \Delta$$

ordinary Poisson equation then implies Φ approximately constant if stresses negligible.

- **Example:** Exact Newtonian curvature above the horizon derived through Bardeen curvature conservation

Gauge transformation

$$\Phi = \zeta + \frac{\dot{a} v}{a k}$$

Hybrid “Gauge Invariant” Approach

Einstein equation to eliminate velocity

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\Psi - \dot{\Phi} = 4\pi G a^2 (\rho + p)v/k$$

Friedman equation with no spatial curvature

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} a^2 \rho$$

With $\dot{\Phi} = 0$ and $\Psi \approx -\Phi$

$$\frac{\dot{a} v}{a k} = -\frac{2}{3(1+w)}\Phi$$

Hybrid “Gauge Invariant” Approach

Combining gauge transformation with velocity relation

$$\Phi = \frac{3 + 3w}{5 + 3w} \zeta$$

Usage: calculate ζ from inflation determines Φ for any choice of matter content or causal evolution.

- **Example:** Scalar field (“quintessence” dark energy) equations in comoving gauge imply a **sound speed** $\delta p / \delta \rho = 1$ independent of potential $V(\phi)$. Solve in synchronous gauge (Hu 1998).