

Astro 448

Lecture Notes *Set 7*

Wayne Hu

Gaussian Statistics

- Statistical isotropy says two-point correlation depends only on the power spectrum

$$\Theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\ell m} \Theta_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$$

$$\langle \Theta_{\ell m}^* \Theta_{\ell' m'} \rangle = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} C_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta}$$

- Reality of field says $\Theta_{\ell m} = (-1)^m \Theta_{\ell(-m)}$
- For a Gaussian random field, power spectrum defines all higher order statistics, e.g.

$$\langle \Theta_{\ell_1 m_1} \Theta_{\ell_2 m_2} \Theta_{\ell_3 m_3} \Theta_{\ell_4 m_4} \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{m_1+m_2} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_3} \delta_{m_1(-m_3)} \delta_{\ell_2 \ell_4} \delta_{m_2(-m_4)} C_{\ell_1}^{\Theta\Theta} C_{\ell_2}^{\Theta\Theta} + \text{all pairs}$$

Idealized Statistical Errors

- Take a noisy estimator of the multipoles in the map

$$\hat{\Theta}_{\ell m} = \Theta_{\ell m} + N_{\ell m}$$

and take the noise to be statistically isotropic

$$\langle N_{\ell m}^* N_{\ell' m'} \rangle = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} C_{\ell}^{NN}$$

- Construct an unbiased estimator of the power spectrum

$$\langle \hat{C}_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta} \rangle = C_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta}$$

$$\hat{C}_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta} = \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \hat{\Theta}_{\ell m}^* \hat{\Theta}_{\ell m} - C_{\ell}^{NN}$$

- Variance in estimator

$$\langle \hat{C}_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta} \hat{C}_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta} \rangle - \langle \hat{C}_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta} \rangle^2 = \frac{2}{2\ell + 1} (C_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta} + C_{\ell}^{NN})^2$$

Cosmic and Noise Variance

- RMS in estimator is simply the total power spectrum reduced by $\sqrt{2/N_{\text{modes}}}$ where N_{modes} is the number of m -mode measurements
- Even a perfect experiment where $C_\ell^{NN} = 0$ has statistical variance due to the Gaussian random realizations of the field. This cosmic variance is the result of having only one realization to measure.
- Noise variance is often approximated as white detector noise.
Removing the beam to place the measurement on the sky

$$N_\ell^{\Theta\Theta} = \left(\frac{T}{d_T}\right)^2 e^{\ell(\ell+1)\sigma^2} = \left(\frac{T}{d_T}\right)^2 e^{\ell(\ell+1)\text{FWHM}^2/8\ln 2}$$

where d_T can be thought of as a noise level per steradian of the temperature measurement, σ is the Gaussian beam width, FWHM is the full width at half maximum of the beam

Idealized Parameter Forecasts

- A crude propagation of errors is often useful for estimation purposes.
- Suppose $C_{\alpha\beta}$ describes the covariance matrix of the estimators for a given parameter set π_α .
- Define $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}^{-1}$ [formalized as the Fisher matrix later]. Making an infinitesimal transformation to a new set of parameters p_μ

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial\pi_\alpha}{\partial p_\mu} F_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial\pi_\beta}{\partial p_\nu}$$

- In our case π_α are the C_ℓ the covariance is diagonal and p_μ are cosmological parameters

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \sum_\ell \frac{2\ell + 1}{2(C_\ell^{\Theta\Theta} + C_\ell^{NN})^2} \frac{\partial C_\ell^{\Theta\Theta}}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial C_\ell^{\Theta\Theta}}{\partial p_\nu}$$

Idealized Parameter Forecasts

- Polarization handled in same way (requires covariance)
- Fisher matrix represents a local approximation to the transformation of the covariance and hence is only accurate for well constrained directions in parameter space
- Derivatives evaluated by finite difference
- Fisher matrix identifies parameter degeneracies but only the local direction – i.e. all errors are ellipses not bananas

Beyond Idealizations: Time Ordered Data

- For the data analyst the starting point is a string of “time ordered” data coming out of the instrument (post removal of systematic errors!)
- Begin with a model of the time ordered data as

$$d_t = P_{ti}\Theta_i + n_t$$

where i denotes pixelized positions indexed by i , d_t is the data in a time ordered stream indexed by t . Number of time ordered data will be of the order 10^{10} for a satellite! number of pixels $10^6 - 10^7$.

- The noise n_t is drawn from a distribution with a known power spectrum

$$\langle n_t n_{t'} \rangle = C_{d,tt'}$$

Pointing Matrix

- The pointing matrix \mathbf{P} is the mapping between pixel space and the time ordered data
- Simplest incarnation: row with all zeros except one column which just says what point in the sky the telescope is pointing at that time

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- More generally incorporates differencing, beam, rotation (for polarization)

Maximum Likelihood Mapmaking

- What is the best estimator of the underlying map Θ_i
- Likelihood function: the probability of getting the data given the theory $\mathcal{L} \equiv P[\text{data}|\text{theory}]$. In this case, the *theory* is the set of parameters Θ_i .

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Theta}(d_t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N_t/2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{C}_d}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (d_t - P_{ti}\Theta_i) C_{d,tt'}^{-1} (d_{t'} - P_{t'j}\Theta_j) \right].$$

- Bayes theorem says that $P[\Theta_i|d_t]$, the probability that the temperatures are equal to Θ_i given the data, is proportional to the likelihood function times a *prior* $P(\Theta_i)$, taken to be uniform

$$P[\Theta_i|d_t] \propto P[d_t|\Theta_i] \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\Theta}(d_t)$$

Maximum Likelihood Mapping

- Maximizing the likelihood of Θ_i is simple since the log-likelihood is quadratic.
- Differentiating the argument of the exponential with respect to Θ_i and setting to zero leads immediately to the estimator

$$\hat{\Theta}_i = C_{N,ij} P_{jt} C_{d,tt'}^{-1} d_{t'} ,$$

where $C_N \equiv (\mathbf{P}^{\text{tr}} \mathbf{C}_d^{-1} \mathbf{P})^{-1}$ is the covariance of the estimator

- Given the large dimension of the time ordered data, direct matrix manipulation is unfeasible. A key simplifying assumption is the stationarity of the noise, that $C_{d,tt'}$ depends only on $t - t'$ (temporal statistical homogeneity)

Power Spectrum

- The next step in the chain of inference is the power spectrum extraction. Here the correlation between pixels is modelled through the power spectrum

$$C_{S,ij} \equiv \langle \Theta_i \Theta_j \rangle = \sum_{\ell} \Delta_{T,\ell}^2 W_{\ell,ij}$$

- Here W_{ℓ} , the window function, is derived by writing down the expansion of $\Theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ in harmonic space, including smoothing by the beam and pixelization
- For example in the simple case of a gaussian beam of width σ it is proportional to the Legendre polynomial $P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_j)$ for the pixel separation multiplied by $b_{\ell}^2 \propto e^{-\ell(\ell+1)\sigma^2}$

Band Powers

- In principle the underlying theory to extract from maximum likelihood is the power spectrum at every ℓ
- However with a finite patch of sky, it is not possible to extract multipoles separated by $\Delta\ell < 2\pi/L$ where L is the dimension of the survey
- So consider instead a theory parameterization of $\Delta_{T,\ell}^2$ constant in bands of $\Delta\ell$ chosen to match the survey forming a set of band powers B_a
- The likelihood of the bandpowers given the pixelized data is

$$\mathcal{L}_B(\Theta_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N_p/2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{C}_\Theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \Theta_i C_{\Theta,ij}^{-1} \Theta_j\right)$$

where $\mathbf{C}_\Theta = \mathbf{C}_S + \mathbf{C}_N$ and N_p is the number of pixels in the map.

Band Power Estimation

- As before, \mathcal{L}_B is Gaussian in the anisotropies Θ_i , but in this case Θ_i are *not* the parameters to be determined; the theoretical parameters are the B_a , upon which the covariance matrix depends.
- The likelihood function is not Gaussian in the parameters, and there is no simple, analytic way to find the maximum likelihood bandpowers
- Iterative approach to maximizing the likelihood: take a trial point $B_a^{(0)}$ and improve estimate based a Newton-Rhapson approach to finding zeros

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}_a &= \hat{B}_a^{(0)} + F_{B,ab} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}_B}{\partial B_b} \\ &= \hat{B}_a^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} F_{B,ab}^{-1} \left(\Theta_i C_{\Theta,ij}^{-1} \frac{\partial C_{\Theta,jk}}{\partial B_b} C_{\Theta,kl}^{-1} \Theta_l - C_{\Theta,ij}^{-1} \frac{\partial C_{\Theta,ji}}{\partial B_b} \right),\end{aligned}$$

Fisher Matrix

- The expectation value of the local curvature is the Fisher matrix

$$\begin{aligned} F_{B,ab} &\equiv \left\langle -\frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{L}_\Theta}{\partial B_a \partial B_b} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} C_{\Theta,ij}^{-1} \frac{\partial C_{\Theta,jk}}{\partial B_a} C_{\Theta,kl}^{-1} \frac{\partial C_{\Theta,li}}{\partial B_b}. \end{aligned}$$

- This is a general statement: for a gaussian distribution the Fisher matrix

$$F_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{,a} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{,b}]$$

- Kramer-Rao identity says that the best possible covariance matrix on a set of parameters is $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}$
- Thus, the iteration returns an estimate of the covariance matrix of the estimators \mathbf{C}_B

Cosmological Parameters

- The probability distribution of the bandpowers given the cosmological parameters c_i is not Gaussian but it is often an adequate approximation

$$\mathcal{L}_c(\hat{B}_a) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N_c/2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{C}_B}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\hat{B}_a - B_a) C_{B,ab}^{-1} (\hat{B}_b - B_b) \right]$$

- Grid based approaches evaluate the likelihood in cosmological parameter space and maximize
- Faster approaches monte carlo the exploration of the likelihood space intelligently (“Monte Carlo Markov Chains”)
- Since the number of cosmological parameters in the working model is $N_c \sim 10$ this represents a final radical compression of information in the original timestream which recall has up to $N_t \sim 10^{10}$ data points.

Parameter Forecasts

- The Fisher matrix of the cosmological parameters becomes

$$F_{c,ij} = \frac{\partial B_a}{\partial c_i} C_{B,ab}^{-1} \frac{\partial B_b}{\partial c_j} .$$

which is the error propagation formula discussed above

- The Fisher matrix can be more accurately defined for an experiment by taking the pixel covariance and using the general formula for the Fisher matrix of gaussian data
- Corrects for edge effects with the approximate effect of

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell + 1) f_{\text{sky}}}{2(C_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta} + C_{\ell}^{NN})^2} \frac{\partial C_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta}}{\partial p_{\mu}} \frac{\partial C_{\ell}^{\Theta\Theta}}{\partial p_{\nu}}$$

where the sky fraction f_{sky} quantifies the loss of independent modes due to the sky cut