## Ast 448

## Set 4: Power Spectra Wayne Hu

## Planck Power Spectrum



## B-modes: Auto \& Cross



## Scalar Primary Power Spectrum



## Tensor Power Spectrum



## Schematic Outline

- Take apart features in the power spectrum



## Thomson Scattering

- Thomson scattering of photons off of free electrons is the most important CMB process with a cross section (averaged over polarization states) of

$$
\sigma_{T}=\frac{8 \pi \alpha^{2}}{3 m_{e}^{2}}=6.65 \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

- Density of free electrons in a fully ionized $x_{e}=1$ universe

$$
n_{e}=\left(1-Y_{p} / 2\right) x_{e} n_{b} \approx 10^{-5} \Omega_{b} h^{2}(1+z)^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}
$$

where $Y_{p} \approx 0.24$ is the Helium mass fraction, creates a high (comoving) Thomson opacity

$$
\dot{\tau} \equiv n_{e} \sigma_{T} a
$$

where dots are conformal time $\eta \equiv \int d t / a$ derivatives and $\tau$ is the optical depth.

## Tight Coupling Approximation

- Near recombination $z \approx 10^{3}$ and $\Omega_{b} h^{2} \approx 0.02$, the (comoving) mean free path of a photon

$$
\lambda_{C} \equiv \frac{1}{\dot{\tau}} \sim 2.5 \mathrm{Mpc}
$$

small by cosmological standards!

- On scales $\lambda \gg \lambda_{C}$ photons are tightly coupled to the electrons by Thomson scattering which in turn are tightly coupled to the baryons by Coulomb interactions
- Specifically, their bulk velocities are defined by a single fluid velocity $v_{\gamma}=v_{b}$ and the photons carry no anisotropy in the rest frame of the baryons
- $\rightarrow$ No heat conduction or viscosity (anisotropic stress) in fluid


## Equations of Motion

- Continuity

$$
\dot{\Theta}=-\frac{k}{3} v_{\gamma}-\dot{\Phi}, \quad \dot{\delta}_{b}=-k v_{b}-3 \dot{\Phi}
$$

where the photon equation remains unchanged and the baryons follow number conservation with $\rho_{b}=m_{b} n_{b}$

- Navier-Stokes (Euler + heat conduction, viscosity)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{v}_{\gamma}=k(\Theta+\Psi)-\frac{k}{6} \pi_{\gamma}-\dot{\tau}\left(v_{\gamma}-v_{b}\right) \\
& \dot{v}_{b}=-\frac{\dot{a}}{a} v_{b}+k \Psi+\dot{\tau}\left(v_{\gamma}-v_{b}\right) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

where the photons gain an anisotropic stress term $\pi_{\gamma}$ from radiation viscosity and a momentum exchange term with the baryons and are compensated by the opposite term in the baryon Euler equation

## Zeroth Order Approximation

- Momentum density of a fluid is $(\rho+p) v$, where $p$ is the pressure
- Neglect the momentum density of the baryons

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & \equiv \frac{\left(\rho_{b}+p_{b}\right) v_{b}}{\left(\rho_{\gamma}+p_{\gamma}\right) v_{\gamma}}=\frac{\rho_{b}+p_{b}}{\rho_{\gamma}+p_{\gamma}}=\frac{3 \rho_{b}}{4 \rho_{\gamma}} \\
& \approx 0.6\left(\frac{\Omega_{b} h^{2}}{0.02}\right)\left(\frac{a}{10^{-3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\rho_{\gamma} \propto T^{4}$ is fixed by the CMB temperature $T=2.73(1+z) \mathrm{K}$

- OK substantially before recombination
- Neglect radiation in the expansion

$$
\frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{r}}=3.6\left(\frac{\Omega_{m} h^{2}}{0.15}\right)\left(\frac{a}{10^{-3}}\right)
$$

- Neglect gravity


## Fluid Equations

- Density $\rho_{\gamma} \propto T^{4}$ so define temperature fluctuation $\Theta$

$$
\delta_{\gamma}=4 \frac{\delta T}{T} \equiv 4 \Theta
$$

- Real space continuity equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\delta}_{\gamma} & =-\left(1+w_{\gamma}\right) k v_{\gamma} \\
\dot{\Theta} & =-\frac{1}{3} k v_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Euler equation (neglecting gravity)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{v}_{\gamma}=-\left(1-3 w_{\gamma}\right) \frac{\dot{a}}{a} v_{\gamma}+\frac{k c_{s}^{2}}{1+w_{\gamma}} \delta_{\gamma} \\
& \dot{v}_{\gamma}=k c_{s}^{2} \frac{3}{4} \delta_{\gamma}=3 c_{s}^{2} k \Theta
\end{aligned}
$$

## Oscillator: Take One

- Combine these to form the simple harmonic oscillator equation

$$
\ddot{\Theta}+c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \Theta=0
$$

where the sound speed is adiabatic

$$
c_{s}^{2}=\frac{\delta p_{\gamma}}{\delta \rho_{\gamma}}=\frac{\dot{p}_{\gamma}}{\dot{\rho}_{\gamma}}
$$

here $c_{s}^{2}=1 / 3$ since we are photon-dominated

- General solution:

$$
\Theta(\eta)=\Theta(0) \cos (k s)+\frac{\dot{\Theta}(0)}{k c_{s}} \sin (k s)
$$

where the sound horizon is defined as $s \equiv \int c_{s} d \eta$

## Harmonic Extrema

- All modes are frozen in at recombination (denoted with a subscript *)
- Temperature perturbations of different amplitude for different modes.
- For the adiabatic
 (curvature mode) initial conditions

$$
\dot{\Theta}(0)=0
$$

- So solution

$$
\Theta\left(\eta_{*}\right)=\Theta(0) \cos \left(k s_{*}\right)
$$

## Harmonic Extrema

- Modes caught in the extrema of their oscillation will have enhanced fluctuations

$$
k_{n} s_{*}=n \pi
$$

yielding a fundamental scale or frequency, related to the inverse sound horizon

$$
k_{A}=\pi / s_{*}
$$

and a harmonic relationship to the other extrema as $1: 2: 3 \ldots$

## Peak Location

- The fundmental physical scale is translated into a fundamental angular scale by simple projection according to the angular diameter distance $D_{A}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{A} & =\lambda_{A} / D_{A} \\
\ell_{A} & =k_{A} D_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

- In a flat universe, the distance is simply $D_{A}=D \equiv \eta_{0}-\eta_{*} \approx \eta_{0}$, the horizon distance, and $k_{A}=\pi / s_{*}=\sqrt{3} \pi / \eta_{*}$ so

$$
\theta_{A} \approx \frac{\eta_{*}}{\eta_{0}}
$$

- In a matter-dominated universe $\eta \propto a^{1 / 2}$ so $\theta_{A} \approx 1 / 30 \approx 2^{\circ}$ or

$$
\ell_{A} \approx 200
$$

## Curvature

- In a curved universe, the apparent or angular diameter distance is no longer the conformal distance $D_{A}=R \sin (D / R) \neq D$
- Objects in a closed universe are further than
 they appear! gravitational lensing of the background...
- Curvature scale of the universe must be substantially larger than current horizon


## Curvature

- Flat universe indicates critical density and implies missing energy given local measures of the matter density "dark energy"
- $D$ also depends on dark energy density $\Omega_{\mathrm{DE}}$ and equation of state $w=p_{\mathrm{DE}} / \rho_{\mathrm{DE}}$.
- Expansion rate at recombination
 or matter-radiation ratio enters into calculation of $k_{A}$.


## Fixed Deceleration Epoch

- CMB determination of matter density controls all determinations in the deceleration (matter dominated) epoch
- Planck: $\Omega_{m} h^{2}=0.1426 \pm 0.0025 \rightarrow 1.7 \%$
- Distance to recombination $D_{*}$ determined to $\frac{1}{4} 1.7 \% \approx 0.43 \%$ ( $\Lambda$ CDM result $0.46 \% ; \Delta h / h \approx-\Delta \Omega_{m} h^{2} / \Omega_{m} h^{2}$ ) [more general: $-0.11 \Delta w-0.48 \Delta \ln h-0.15 \Delta \ln \Omega_{m}-1.4 \Delta \ln \Omega_{\text {tot }}=0$ ]
- Expansion rate during any redshift in the deceleration epoch determined to $\frac{1}{2} 1.7 \%$
- Distance to any redshift in the deceleration epoch determined as

$$
D(z)=D_{*}-\int_{z}^{z_{*}} \frac{d z}{H(z)}
$$

- Volumes determined by a combination $d V=D_{A}^{2} d \Omega d z / H(z)$
- Structure also determined by growth of fluctuations from $z_{*}$


## Doppler Effect

- Bulk motion of fluid changes the observed temperature via Doppler shifts

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)_{\mathrm{dop}}=\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\gamma}
$$

- Averaged over directions

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)_{\mathrm{rms}}=\frac{v_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{3}}
$$

- Acoustic solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{v_{\gamma}}{\sqrt{3}} & =-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{k} \dot{\Theta}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{k} k c_{s} \Theta(0) \sin (k s) \\
& =\Theta(0) \sin (k s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Doppler Peaks?

- Doppler effect for the photon dominated system is of equal amplitude and $\pi / 2$ out of phase: extrema of temperature are turning points of velocity
- Effects add in quadrature:

$$
\left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^{2}=\Theta^{2}(0)\left[\cos ^{2}(k s)+\sin ^{2}(k s)\right]=\Theta^{2}(0)
$$

- No peaks in $k$ spectrum! However the Doppler effect carries an angular dependence that changes its projection on the sky $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\gamma} \propto \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}$


## Doppler Peaks?

- Coordinates where $\hat{\mathbf{z}} \| \hat{\mathbf{k}}$

$$
Y_{10} Y_{\ell 0} \rightarrow Y_{\ell \pm 10}
$$

recoupling $j_{\ell}^{\prime} Y_{\ell 0}$ : no peaks in Doppler effect


## Restoring Gravity

- Take a simple photon dominated system with gravity
- Continuity altered since a gravitational potential represents a stretching of the spatial fabric that dilutes number densities formally a spatial curvature perturbation
- Think of this as a perturbation to the scale factor $a \rightarrow a(1+\Phi)$ so that the cosmogical redshift is generalized to

$$
\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \rightarrow \frac{\dot{a}}{a}+\dot{\Phi}
$$

so that the continuity equation becomes

$$
\dot{\Theta}=-\frac{1}{3} k v_{\gamma}-\dot{\Phi}
$$

## Restoring Gravity

- Gravitational force in momentum conservation $\mathbf{F}=-m \nabla \Psi$ generalized to momentum density modifies the Euler equation to

$$
\dot{v}_{\gamma}=k(\Theta+\Psi)
$$

- General relativity says that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are the relativistic analogues of the Newtonian potential and that $\Phi \approx-\Psi$.
- In our matter-dominated approximation, $\Phi$ represents matter density fluctuations through the cosmological Poisson equation

$$
k^{2} \Phi=4 \pi G a^{2} \rho_{m} \Delta_{m}
$$

where the difference comes from the use of comoving coordinates for $k$ ( $a^{2}$ factor), the removal of the background density into the background expansion $\left(\rho \Delta_{m}\right)$ and finally a coordinate subtlety that enters into the definition of $\Delta_{m}$

## Constant Potentials

- In the matter dominated epoch potentials are constant because infall generates velocities as $v_{m} \sim k \eta \Psi$
- Velocity divergence generates density perturbations as $\Delta_{m} \sim-k \eta v_{m} \sim-(k \eta)^{2} \Psi$
- And density perturbations generate potential fluctuations

$$
\Phi=\frac{4 \pi G a^{2} \rho \Delta}{k^{2}} \approx \frac{3}{2} \frac{H^{2} a^{2}}{k^{2}} \Delta \sim \frac{\Delta}{(k \eta)^{2}} \sim-\Psi
$$

keeping them constant. Note that because of the expansion, density perturbations must grow to keep potentials constant.

## Constant Potentials

- More generally, if stress perturbations are negligible compared with density perturbations ( $\delta p \ll \delta \rho$ ) then potential will remain roughly constant
- More specifically a variant called the Bardeen or comoving curvature is strictly constant

$$
\mathcal{R}=\mathrm{const} \approx \frac{5+3 w}{3+3 w} \Phi
$$

where the approximation holds when $w \approx$ const.

## Oscillator: Take Two

- Combine these to form the simple harmonic oscillator equation

$$
\ddot{\Theta}+c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \Theta=-\frac{k^{2}}{3} \Psi-\ddot{\Phi}
$$

- In a CDM dominated expansion $\dot{\Phi}=\dot{\Psi}=0$. Also for photon domination $c_{s}^{2}=1 / 3$ so the oscillator equation becomes

$$
\ddot{\Theta}+\ddot{\Psi}+c_{s}^{2} k^{2}(\Theta+\Psi)=0
$$

- Solution is just an offset version of the original

$$
[\Theta+\Psi](\eta)=[\Theta+\Psi](0) \cos (k s)
$$

- $\Theta+\Psi$ is also the observed temperature fluctuation since photons lose energy climbing out of gravitational potentials at recombination


## Effective Temperature

- Photons climb out of potential wells at last scattering
- Lose energy to gravitational redshifts
- Observed or effective temperature

$$
\Theta+\Psi
$$

- Effective temperature oscillates around zero with amplitude given by the initial conditions
- Note: initial conditions are set when the perturbation is outside of horizon, need inflation or other modification to matter-radiation FRW universe.
- GR says that initial temperature is given by initial potential


## Sachs-Wolfe Effect and the Magic 1/3

- A gravitational potential is a perturbation to the temporal coordinate [formally a gauge transformation]

$$
\frac{\delta t}{t}=\Psi
$$

- Convert this to a perturbation in the scale factor,

$$
t=\int \frac{d a}{a H} \propto \int \frac{d a}{a \rho^{1 / 2}} \propto a^{3(1+w) / 2}
$$

where $w \equiv p / \rho$ so that during matter domination

$$
\frac{\delta a}{a}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\delta t}{t}
$$

- CMB temperature is cooling as $T \propto a^{-1}$ so

$$
\Theta+\Psi \equiv \frac{\delta T}{T}+\Psi=-\frac{\delta a}{a}+\Psi=\frac{1}{3} \Psi
$$

## Sachs-Wolfe Normalization

- Use measurements of $\Delta T / T \approx 10^{-5}$ in the Sachs-Wolfe effect to infer $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^{2}$
- Recall in matter domination $\Psi=-3 \mathcal{R} / 5$

$$
\frac{\ell(\ell+1) C_{\ell}}{2 \pi} \approx \Delta_{T}^{2} \approx \frac{1}{25} \Delta_{R}^{2}
$$

- So that the amplitude of initial curvature fluctuations is $\Delta_{R} \approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$
- Modern usage: WMAP's measurement of 1 st peak plus known radiation transfer function is used to convert $\Delta T / T$ to $\Delta_{R}$.


## Baryon Loading

- Baryons add extra mass to the photon-baryon fluid
- Controlling parameter is the momentum density ratio:

$$
R \equiv \frac{p_{b}+\rho_{b}}{p_{\gamma}+\rho_{\gamma}} \approx 30 \Omega_{b} h^{2}\left(\frac{a}{10^{-3}}\right)
$$

of order unity at recombination

- Momentum density of the joint system is conserved

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\rho_{\gamma}+p_{\gamma}\right) v_{\gamma}+\left(\rho_{b}+p_{b}\right) v_{b} & \approx\left(p_{\gamma}+p_{\gamma}+\rho_{b}+\rho_{\gamma}\right) v_{\gamma} \\
& =(1+R)\left(\rho_{\gamma}+p_{\gamma}\right) v_{\gamma b}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the controlling parameter is the momentum density ratio:

$$
R \equiv \frac{p_{b}+\rho_{b}}{p_{\gamma}+\rho_{\gamma}} \approx 30 \Omega_{b} h^{2}\left(\frac{a}{10^{-3}}\right)
$$

of order unity at recombination

## New Euler Equation

- Momentum density ratio enters as

$$
\left[(1+R) v_{\gamma b}\right]^{\cdot}=k \Theta+(1+R) k \Psi
$$

- Photon continuity remains the same

$$
\dot{\Theta}=-\frac{k}{3} v_{\gamma b}-\dot{\Phi}
$$

- Modification of oscillator equation

$$
[(1+R) \dot{\Theta}]^{\cdot}+\frac{1}{3} k^{2} \Theta=-\frac{1}{3} k^{2}(1+R) \Psi-[(1+R) \dot{\Phi}]
$$

## Oscillator: Take Three

- Combine these to form the not-quite-so simple harmonic oscillator equation

$$
c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Theta}\right)+c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \Theta=-\frac{k^{2}}{3} \Psi-c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Phi}\right)
$$

where $c_{s}^{2} \equiv \dot{p}_{\gamma b} / \dot{\rho}_{\gamma b}$

$$
c_{s}^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{1+R}
$$

- In a CDM dominated expansion $\dot{\Phi}=\dot{\Psi}=0$ and the adiabatic approximation $\dot{R} / R \ll \omega=k c_{s}$

$$
[\Theta+(1+R) \Psi](\eta)=[\Theta+(1+R) \Psi](0) \cos (k s)
$$

## Baryon Peak Phenomenology

- Photon-baryon ratio enters in three ways
- Overall larger amplitude:
$[\Theta+(1+R) \Psi](0)=\frac{1}{3}(1+3 R) \Psi(0)$
- Even-odd peak modulation of effective temperature


$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\Theta+\Psi]_{\text {peaks }} } & =[ \pm(1+3 R)-3 R] \frac{1}{3} \Psi(0) \\
{[\Theta+\Psi]_{1}-[\Theta+\Psi]_{2} } & =[-6 R] \frac{1}{3} \Psi(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Shifting of the sound horizon down or $\ell_{A}$ up

$$
\ell_{A} \propto \sqrt{1+R}
$$

## Photon Baryon Ratio Evolution

- Actual effects smaller since $R$ evolves
- Oscillator equation has time evolving mass

$$
c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Theta}\right)+c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \Theta=0
$$

- Effective mass is is $m_{\text {eff }}=3 c_{s}^{-2}=(1+R)$
- Adiabatic invariant

$$
\frac{E}{\omega}=\frac{1}{2} m_{\mathrm{eff}} \omega A^{2}=\frac{1}{2} 3 c_{s}^{-2} k c_{s} A^{2} \propto A^{2}(1+R)^{1 / 2}=\text { const } .
$$

- Amplitude of oscillation $A \propto(1+R)^{-1 / 4}$ decays adiabatically as the photon-baryon ratio changes


## Baryons in the Power Spectrum

- Relative heights of peaks



## Oscillator: Take Three and a Half

- The not-quite-so simple harmonic oscillator equation is a forced harmonic oscillator

$$
c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Theta}\right)+c_{s}^{2} k^{2} \Theta=-\frac{k^{2}}{3} \Psi-c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \Phi\right)
$$

changes in the gravitational potentials alter the form of the acoustic oscillations

- If the forcing term has a temporal structure that is related to the frequency of the oscillation, this becomes a driven harmonic oscillator
- Term involving $\Psi$ is the ordinary gravitational force
- Term involving $\Phi$ involves the $\dot{\Phi}$ term in the continuity equation as a (curvature) perturbation to the scale factor


## Potential Decay

- Matter-to-radiation ratio

$$
\frac{\rho_{m}}{\rho_{r}} \approx 24 \Omega_{m} h^{2}\left(\frac{a}{10^{-3}}\right)
$$

of order unity at recombination in a low $\Omega_{m}$ universe

- Radiation is not stress free and so impedes the growth of structure

$$
k^{2} \Phi=4 \pi G a^{2} \rho_{r} \Delta_{r}
$$

$\Delta_{r} \sim 4 \Theta$ oscillates around a constant value, $\rho_{r} \propto a^{-4}$ so the Netwonian curvature decays.

- General rule: potential decays if the dominant energy component has substantial stress fluctuations, i.e. below the generalized sound horizon or Jeans scale


## Radiation Driving

- Decay is timed precisely to drive the oscillator - close to fully coherent

$$
\begin{aligned}
|[\Theta+\Psi](\eta)| & =|[\Theta+\Psi](0)+\Delta \Psi-\Delta \Phi| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{3} \Psi(0)-2 \Psi(0)\right|=\left|\frac{5}{3} \Psi(0)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$



- $5 \times$ the amplitude of the Sachs-Wolfe effect!


## External Potential Approach

- Solution to homogeneous equation

$$
(1+R)^{-1 / 4} \cos (k s), \quad(1+R)^{-1 / 4} \sin (k s)
$$

- Give the general solution for an external potential by propagating impulsive forces

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1+R)^{1 / 4} \Theta(\eta)=\Theta(0) \cos (k s)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{k}\left[\dot{\Theta}(0)+\frac{1}{4} \dot{R}(0) \Theta(0)\right] \sin k s \\
&+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{k} \int_{0}^{\eta} d \eta^{\prime}\left(1+R^{\prime}\right)^{3 / 4} \sin \left[k s-k s^{\prime}\right] F\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
F=-\ddot{\Phi}-\frac{\dot{R}}{1+R} \dot{\Phi}-\frac{k^{2}}{3} \Psi
$$

- Useful if general form of potential evolution is known


## Matter-Radiation in the Power Spectrum

- Coherent approximation is exact for a photon-baryon fluid but reality is reduced to $\sim 4 \times$ because of neutrino contribution to radiation
- Actual initial conditions are $\Theta+\Psi=\Psi / 2$ for radiation domination but comparison to matter dominated SW correct



## Damping

- Tight coupling equations assume a perfect fluid: no viscosity, no heat conduction
- Fluid imperfections are related to the mean free path of the photons in the baryons

$$
\lambda_{C}=\dot{\tau}^{-1} \quad \text { where } \quad \dot{\tau}=n_{e} \sigma_{T} a
$$

is the conformal opacity to Thomson scattering

- Dissipation related to diffusion length: random walk approx

$$
\lambda_{D}=\sqrt{N} \lambda_{C}=\sqrt{\eta / \lambda_{C}} \lambda_{C}=\sqrt{\eta \lambda_{C}}
$$

the geometric mean between the horizon and mean free path

- $\lambda_{D} / \eta_{*} \sim$ few $\%$, so expect peaks $>3$ to be affected by dissipation
- $\sqrt{\eta}$ enters here and $\eta$ in the acoustic scale $\rightarrow$ expansion rate and extra relativistic species


## Equations of Motion

- Continuity

$$
\dot{\Theta}=-\frac{k}{3} v_{\gamma}-\dot{\Phi}, \quad \dot{\delta}_{b}=-k v_{b}-3 \dot{\Phi}
$$

where the photon equation remains unchanged and the baryons follow number conservation with $\rho_{b}=m_{b} n_{b}$

- Navier-Stokes (Euler + heat conduction, viscosity)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{v}_{\gamma}=k(\Theta+\Psi)-\frac{k}{6} \pi_{\gamma}-\dot{\tau}\left(v_{\gamma}-v_{b}\right) \\
& \dot{v}_{b}=-\frac{\dot{a}}{a} v_{b}+k \Psi+\dot{\tau}\left(v_{\gamma}-v_{b}\right) / R
\end{aligned}
$$

where the photons gain an anisotropic stress term $\pi_{\gamma}$ from radiation viscosity and a momentum exchange term with the baryons and are compensated by the opposite term in the baryon Euler equation

## Viscosity

- Viscosity is generated from radiation streaming from hot to cold regions
- Expect

$$
\pi_{\gamma} \sim v_{\gamma} \frac{k}{\dot{\tau}}
$$

generated by streaming, suppressed by scattering in a wavelength of the fluctuation. Radiative transfer says

$$
\pi_{\gamma} \approx 2 A_{v} v_{\gamma} \frac{k}{\dot{\tau}}
$$

where $A_{v}=16 / 15$

$$
\dot{v}_{\gamma}=k(\Theta+\Psi)-\frac{k}{3} A_{v} \frac{k}{\frac{\tau}{\tau}} v_{\gamma}
$$

## Oscillator: Penultimate Take

- Adiabatic approximation $(\omega \gg \dot{a} / a)$

$$
\dot{\Theta} \approx-\frac{k}{3} v_{\gamma}
$$

- Oscillator equation contains a $\dot{\Theta}$ damping term

$$
c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Theta}\right)+\frac{k^{2} c_{s}^{2}}{\dot{\tau}} A_{v} \dot{\Theta}+k^{2} c_{s}^{2} \Theta=-\frac{k^{2}}{3} \Psi-c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Phi}\right)
$$

- Heat conduction term similar in that it is proportional to $v_{\gamma}$ and is suppressed by scattering $k / \dot{\tau}$. Expansion of Euler equations to leading order in $k \dot{\tau}$ gives

$$
A_{h}=\frac{R^{2}}{1+R}
$$

since the effects are only significant if the baryons are dynamically important

## Oscillator: Final Take

- Final oscillator equation

$$
c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Theta}\right)+\frac{k^{2} c_{s}^{2}}{\dot{\tau}}\left[A_{v}+A_{h}\right] \dot{\Theta}+k^{2} c_{s}^{2} \Theta=-\frac{k^{2}}{3} \Psi-c_{s}^{2} \frac{d}{d \eta}\left(c_{s}^{-2} \dot{\Phi}\right)
$$

- Solve in the adiabatic approximation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Theta \propto \exp \left(i \int \omega d \eta\right) \\
-\omega^{2}+\frac{k^{2} c_{s}^{2}}{\dot{\tau}}\left(A_{v}+A_{h}\right) i \omega+k^{2} c_{s}^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## Dispersion Relation

- Solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{2} & =k^{2} c_{s}^{2}\left[1+i \frac{\omega}{\dot{\tau}}\left(A_{v}+A_{h}\right)\right] \\
\omega & = \pm k c_{s}\left[1+\frac{i}{2} \frac{\omega}{\dot{\tau}}\left(A_{v}+A_{h}\right)\right] \\
& = \pm k c_{s}\left[1 \pm \frac{i}{2} \frac{k c_{s}}{\dot{\tau}}\left(A_{v}+A_{h}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Exponentiate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left(i \int \omega d \eta\right) & =e^{ \pm i k s} \exp \left[-k^{2} \int d \eta \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_{s}^{2}}{\dot{\tau}}\left(A_{v}+A_{h}\right)\right] \\
& =e^{ \pm i k s} \exp \left[-\left(k / k_{D}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Damping is exponential under the scale $k_{D}$


## Diffusion Scale

- Diffusion wavenumber

$$
k_{D}^{-2}=\int d \eta \frac{1}{\dot{\tau}} \frac{1}{6(1+R)}\left(\frac{16}{15}+\frac{R^{2}}{(1+R)}\right)
$$

- Limiting forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} k_{D}^{-2} & =\frac{1}{6} \frac{16}{15} \int d \eta \frac{1}{\dot{\tau}} \\
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} k_{D}^{-2} & =\frac{1}{6} \int d \eta \frac{1}{\dot{\tau}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Geometric mean between horizon and mean free path as expected from a random walk

$$
\lambda_{D}=\frac{2 \pi}{k_{D}} \sim \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{6}}\left(\eta \dot{\tau}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

## Thomson Scattering

- Polarization state of radiation in direction $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ described by the intensity matrix $\left\langle E_{i}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) E_{j}^{*}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})\right\rangle$, where $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field vector and the brackets denote time averaging.
- Differential cross section

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\frac{3}{8 \pi}\left|\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\prime} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}\right|^{2} \sigma_{T},
$$

where $\sigma_{T}=8 \pi \alpha^{2} / 3 m_{e}$ is the Thomson cross section, $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\prime}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{E}}$ denote the incoming and outgoing directions of the electric field or polarization vector.

- Summed over angle and incoming polarization

$$
\sum_{i=1,2} \int d \hat{\mathbf{n}}^{\prime} \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\sigma_{T}
$$

## Polarization Generation

- Heuristic: incoming radiation shakes an electron in direction of electric field vector $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\prime}$
- Radiates photon with
 polarization also in direction $\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\prime}$
- But photon cannot be longitudinally polarized so that scattering into $90^{\circ}$ can only pass one polarization
- Linearly polarized radiation like polarization by reflection
- Unlike reflection of sunlight, incoming radiation is nearly isotropic
- Missing from direction orthogonal to original incoming direction
- Only quadrupole anisotropy generates polarization by Thomson scattering


## Acoustic Polarization

- Break down of tight-coupling leads to quadrupole anisotropy of

$$
\pi_{\gamma} \approx \frac{k}{\dot{\tau}} v_{\gamma}
$$

- Scaling $k_{D}=\left(\dot{\tau} / \eta_{*}\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow \dot{\tau}=k_{D}^{2} \eta_{*}$
- Know: $k_{D} s_{*} \approx k_{D} \eta_{*} \approx 10$
- So:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\gamma} & \approx \frac{k}{k_{D}} \frac{1}{10} v_{\gamma} \\
\Delta_{P} & \approx \frac{\ell}{\ell_{D}} \frac{1}{10} \Delta_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Acoustic Polarization

- Gradient of velocity is along direction of wavevector, so polarization is pure $E$-mode
- Velocity is $90^{\circ}$ out of phase with temperature - turning points of oscillator are zero points of velocity:

$$
\Theta+\Psi \propto \cos (k s) ; \quad v_{\gamma} \propto \sin (k s)
$$

- Polarization peaks are at troughs of temperature power


## Cross Correlation

- Cross correlation of temperature and polarization

$$
(\Theta+\Psi)\left(v_{\gamma}\right) \propto \cos (k s) \sin (k s) \propto \sin (2 k s)
$$

- Oscillation at twice the frequency
- Correlation: radial or tangential around hot spots
- Partial correlation: easier to measure if polarization data is noisy, harder to measure if polarization data is high $S / N$ or if bands do not resolve oscillations
- Good check for systematics and foregrounds
- Comparison of temperature and polarization is proof against features in initial conditions mimicking acoustic features


## Reionization

- Reionization causes rescattering of radiation
- Suppresses temperature anisotopy as $e^{-\tau}$ and changes interpretation of amplitude to $A_{s} e^{-2 \tau}$
- Electron sees temperature anisotropy on its recombination surface

- For wavelengths that are comparable to the horizon at reionization, a quadrupole moment
- Rescatters to a linear polarization that is correlated with the Sachs-Wolfe temperature anisotropy


## Reionization

- Amplitude of $C_{\ell}^{E E}$ depends mainly on $\tau$
- Shape of $C_{\ell}^{E E}$ depends on reionization history
- Horizon at earlier epochs subtends a smaller angle, higher multipole peak

- Precision measurements can constrain the reionization history to be either low or high $z$ dominated


## Polarization Power



## Tensor Power

- Gravitational waves obey a Klein-Gordon like equation

- Like inflation, perturbations generated by quantum fluctuations during inflation
- Freeze out at horizon crossing during inflation an amplitude that reflects the energy scale of inflation


$$
\Delta_{+, \times}^{2}=\frac{H^{2}}{2 \pi^{2} M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{2}} \propto E_{i}^{4}
$$

- Gravitational waves remain frozen outside the horizon at constant amplitude
- Oscillate inside the horizon and decay or redshift as radiation


## Tensor Quadrupoles

- Changing transverse-traceless distortion of space creates a quadrupole CMB anisotropy much like the distortion of test ring of particles
- As the tensor mode enters the
 horizon it imprints a quadrupole temperature distortion: $\dot{H}_{T}^{ \pm 2}$ is source to $S_{2}^{ \pm 2}$
- Modes that cross before recombination: effect erased by rescattering $e^{-\tau}$ in the integral solution
- Modes that cross after recombination: integrate contributions along the line of sight - tensor ISW effect


## Tensor Temperature Power Spectrum

- Resulting spectum, near scale invariant out to horizon at recombination $\ell<100$
- Suppressed on smaller scales or higher multipoles $\ell>100$, weakly degenerate with tilt

- When added to scalar spectrum, enhances large scale anisotropy over small scale
- Shape of total temperature spectrum can place tight limit $r<0.1$, for power law curvature spectrum
- Smaller tensor-scalar ratios cannot be constrained by temperature alone due the high cosmic variance of the low multipole specrum


## Tensor Polarization Power Spectrum

- Polarization of gravitational wave determines the quadrupole temperature anisotropy
- Scattering of quadrupole temperature anisotropy generates linear polarization aligned with cold lobe

- Direction of CMB polarization is therefore determined by gravitational wave polarization rather than direction of wavevector
- $B$-mode polarization when the amplitude is modulated by the plane wave
- Requires scattering: two peaks - horizon at recombination and reionization


## Tensor Polarization Power Spectrum

- Measuring $B$-modes from gravitational waves determines the energy scale of inflation

$$
\Delta B_{\text {peak }} \approx 0.024\left(\frac{E_{i}}{10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}}\right)^{2} \mu \mathrm{~K}
$$

- Also generates $E$-mode polarization which, like temperature, is a consistency check for $r \sim 0.1$
- Projection is less sharp than for scalar $E$, so evading temperature bounds by adding features to the curvature spectrum can be tested


## Gravitational Lensing

- Lensing is a surface brightness conserving remapping of source to image planes by the gradient of the projected potential

$$
\phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}})=2 \int_{\eta_{*}}^{\eta_{0}} d \eta \frac{\left(D_{*}-D\right)}{D D_{*}} \Phi(D \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \eta) .
$$

such that the fields are remapped as

$$
x(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \rightarrow x(\hat{\mathbf{n}}+\nabla \phi),
$$

where $x \in\{\Theta, Q, U\}$ temperature and polarization.

- Taylor expansion leads to product of fields and Fourier mode-coupling


## Flat-sky Treatment

- Talyor expand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) & =\tilde{\Theta}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}+\nabla \phi) \\
& =\tilde{\Theta}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})+\nabla_{i} \phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \nabla^{i} \tilde{\Theta}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})+\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i} \phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \nabla_{j} \phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \nabla^{i} \nabla^{j} \tilde{\Theta}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

- Fourier decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) & =\int \frac{d^{2} l}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \phi(\mathbf{l}) e^{i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}} \\
\tilde{\Theta}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) & =\int \frac{d^{2} l}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \tilde{\Theta}(\mathbf{l}) e^{i \mathbf{l} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Flat-sky Treatment

- Mode coupling of harmonics

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(\mathbf{l}) & =\int d \hat{\mathbf{n}} \Theta(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) e^{-i l \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}} \\
& =\tilde{\Theta}(\mathbf{l})-\int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{l}_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \tilde{\Theta}\left(\mathbf{l}_{1}\right) L\left(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}_{1}\right) & =\phi\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{l}_{2}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \phi\left(\mathbf{l}_{2}\right) \phi^{*}\left(\mathbf{l}_{2}+\mathbf{l}_{1}-\mathbf{l}\right)\left(\mathbf{l}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{l}_{2}+\mathbf{l}_{1}-\mathbf{l}\right) \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Represents a coupling of harmonics separated by $L \approx 60$ peak of deflection power


## Power Spectrum

- Power spectra

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Theta^{*}(\mathbf{l}) \Theta\left(\mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =(2 \pi)^{2} \delta\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right) C_{l} \\
\left\langle\phi^{*}(\mathbf{l}) \phi\left(\mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =(2 \pi)^{2} \delta\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right) C_{l}^{\phi \phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

becomes

$$
C_{l}=\left(1-l^{2} R\right) \tilde{C}_{l}+\int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{l}_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \tilde{C}_{\mid \mathbf{l - \mathbf { l } _ { 1 }}} C_{l_{1}}^{\phi \phi}\left[\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1}\right]^{2}
$$

where

$$
R=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int \frac{d l}{l} l^{4} C_{l}^{\phi \phi}
$$

## Smoothing Power Spectrum

- If $\tilde{C}_{l}$ slowly varying then two term cancel
$\tilde{C}_{l} \int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{l}_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} C_{l}^{\phi \phi}\left(\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1}\right)^{2} \approx l^{2} R \tilde{C}_{l}$.
- So lensing acts to smooth features in the power
 spectrum. Smoothing kernel is $L \sim 60$ the peak of deflection power spectrum
- Because acoustic feature appear on a scale $l_{A} \sim 300$, smoothing is a subtle effect in the power spectrum.
- Lensing generates power below the damping scale which directly reflect power in deflections on the same scale


## Polarization Lensing

- Polarization field harmonics lensed similarly

$$
[Q \pm i U](\hat{\mathbf{n}})=-\int \frac{d^{2} l}{(2 \pi)^{2}}[E \pm i B](\mathbf{1}) e^{ \pm 2 i \phi_{1}} e^{1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[Q \pm i U](\hat{\mathbf{n}})=} & {[\tilde{Q} \pm i \tilde{U}](\hat{\mathbf{n}}+\nabla \phi) } \\
\approx & {[\tilde{Q} \pm i \tilde{U}](\hat{\mathbf{n}})+\nabla_{i} \phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \nabla^{i}[\tilde{Q} \pm i \tilde{U}](\hat{\mathbf{n}}) } \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i} \phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \nabla_{j} \phi(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \nabla^{i} \nabla^{j}[\tilde{Q} \pm i \tilde{U}](\hat{\mathbf{n}})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polarization Power Spectra

- Carrying through the algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{l}^{E E}= & \left(1-l^{2} R\right) \tilde{C}_{l}^{E E}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{l}_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left[\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1}\right]^{2} C_{\mid \mathbf{l - \mathbf { l } _ { 1 } |}}^{\phi \phi} \\
& \times\left[\left(\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{E E}+\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{B B}\right)+\cos \left(4 \varphi_{l_{1}}\right)\left(\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{E E}-\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{B B}\right)\right], \\
C_{l}^{B B}= & \left(1-l^{2} R\right) \tilde{C}_{l}^{B B}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{l}_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left[\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1}\right]^{2} C_{\left|1-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right|}^{\phi \phi} \\
& \times\left[\left(\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{E E}+\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{B B}\right)-\cos \left(4 \varphi_{l_{1}}\right)\left(\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{E E}-\tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{B B}\right)\right], \\
C_{l}^{\Theta E}= & \left(1-l^{2} R\right) \tilde{C}_{l}^{\Theta E}+\int \frac{d^{2} \mathbf{l}_{1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left[\left(\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{l}_{1}\right]^{2} C_{\left|\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}_{1}\right|}^{\phi \phi} \\
& \times \tilde{C}_{l_{1}}^{\Theta E} \cos \left(2 \varphi_{l_{1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

## Polarization Lensing

- Lensing generates $B$-modes out of the acoustic polaraization $E$-modes contaminates gravitational wave signature if $E_{i}<10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}$.
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## Reconstruction from the CMB

- Correlation between Fourier moments reflect lensing potential

$$
\left\langle x(\mathbf{l}) x^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{CMB}}=f_{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $x \in$ temperature, polarization fields and $f_{\alpha}$ is a fixed weight that reflects geometry

- Each pair forms a noisy estimate of the potential or projected mass - just like a pair of galaxy shears
- Minimum variance weight all pairs to form an estimator of the lensing mass

