#### **Cosmic Acceleration**

Dark Energy v. Modified Gravity

Wayne Hu Perimeter Institute April 2010

# Outline

- Dark Energy vs Modified Gravity
- Three Regimes of Modified Gravity
- Worked (Toy) Models: f(R) and DGP Braneworld
- Collaborators

Wenjuan Fang Dragan Huterer Lucas Lombriser Marcos Lima Michael Mortonson Hiro Oyaizu Fabian Schmidt Hiranya Peiris Sanjeev Seehra Iggy Sawicki Uros Seljak Yong-Seon Song Anze Slosar Amol Upadhye Alexey Vikhlinin Sheng Wang

#### Charting Out the Expansion

- Standard candle: apparent brightness of objects with a fixed luminosity to judge distance
- Standard ruler: apparent (angular) separation of objects with a fixed physical separation to judge distance



Supernovae 1998 Discovery





## Mercury or Pluto?

• General relativity says Gravity = Geometry



• And Geometry = Matter-Energy



• Could the missing energy required by acceleration be an incomplete description of how matter determines geometry?

## Modified Gravity = Dark Energy?

- Solar system tests of gravity are informed by our knowledge of the local stress energy content
- With no other constraint on the stress energy of dark energy other than conservation, modified gravity is formally equivalent to dark energy

$$F(g_{\mu\nu}) + G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T^{M}_{\mu\nu} - F(g_{\mu\nu}) = 8\pi G T^{DE}_{\mu\nu}$$
$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G [T^{M}_{\mu\nu} + T^{DE}_{\mu\nu}]$$

and the Bianchi identity guarantees  $\nabla^{\mu}T^{\rm DE}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ 

- Distinguishing between dark energy and modified gravity requires closure relations that relate components of stress energy tensor
- For matter components, closure relations take the form of equations of state relating density, pressure and anisotropic stress

## Modified Gravity $\neq$ "Smooth DE"

- Scalar field dark energy has  $\delta p = \delta \rho$  (in constant field gauge) relativistic sound speed, no anisotropic stress
- Jeans stability implies that its energy density is spatially smooth compared with the matter below the sound horizon

 $ds^{2} = -(1+2\Psi)dt^{2} + a^{2}(1+2\Phi)dx^{2}$  $\nabla^{2}(\Phi-\Psi) \propto \text{ matter density fluctuation}$ 

 Anisotropic stress changes the amount of space curvature per unit dynamical mass

 $\overline{\nabla^2(\Phi+\Psi)} \propto anisotropic stress$ 

but its absence in a smooth dark energy model makes  $g = (\Phi + \Psi)/(\Phi - \Psi) = 0$  for non-relativistic matter

# Falsifiability of Smooth Dark Energy

- With the smoothness assumption, dark energy only affects gravitational growth of structure through changing the expansion rate
- Hence geometric measurements of the expansion rate predict the growth of structure
  - Hubble Constant
  - Supernovae
  - Baryon Acoustic Oscillations
- Growth of structure measurements can therefore falsify the whole smooth dark energy paradigm
  - Cluster Abundance
  - Weak Lensing
  - Velocity Field (Redshift Space Distortion)

# Falsifying Quintessence

• Dark energy slows growth of structure in highly predictive way



**Cosmological Constant** 

Quintessence

• Deviation significantly >2% rules out  $\Lambda$  with or without curvature

• Excess >2% rules out quintessence with or without curvature and early dark energy [as does >2% excess in  $H_0$ ]

## Dynamical Tests of Acceleration

• Dark energy slows growth of structure in highly predictive way











## Quintessence Falsified?

- No excess numbers of massive *z*>1 X-ray or SZ clusters with Gaussian initial conditions (Jee et al 2009, Brodwin et al 2010)
- No excess power in gravitational lensing at high *z* relative to low *z* (Bean 0909.3853)
- But would such violations favor modified gravity?
- Given astrophysical systematics, expect purported 2σ violations of smooth dark energy predictions will be common in coming years!



## Dynamical vs Lensing Mass

• Newtonian potential:  $\Psi = \delta g_{00}/2g_{00}$  which non-relativistic particles feel



• Space curvature:  $\Phi = \delta g_{ii} / 2g_{ii}$  which also deflects photons



 Most of the incisive tests of gravity reduce to testing the space curvature per unit dynamical mass

## Dynamical v Strong Lensing

- Comparison of strong lensing and dynamical mass assuming a density profile and velocity dispersion data
- Mean exhibits a bias from GR expectation with statistical errors only
- No mass trend detectable



T. Smith (2009)

## Lensing v Dynamical Comparison

- Gravitational lensing around galaxies vs. linear velocity field (through redshift space distortions and galaxy autocorrelation)
- Consistent with GR + smooth dark energy beginning to test interesting models

 $0.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 40 \\ R \\ (h^{-1} Mpc)$ 

Reyes et al (2010); Lombriser et al (2010)

#### Zhang et al (2007); Jain & Zhang (2008)

## Falsify in Favor of What?

- Modified gravity models change space curvature per unit dynamical mass - enhanced or reduced forces on matter
- Requires two closure relations 1st an an effective anisotropic stress that distinguishes lensing from dynamical mass
- Viable induced modifications exhibit three separate regimes
  - Horizon Scale
  - Scalar-Tensor
  - General Relativistic
- Choice of lensing mass contribution as 2nd parameter in scalar-tensor regime favored by conformal invariance of E&M (Hu & Sawicki 2007; see also Caldwell et al 2007; Amendola et al 2007)

#### CAMB Package for Linearized PPF: http://camb.info/ppf

Other uses: phantom crossing dark energy (Fang, Hu, Lewis 2009), dark energy PCs (Mortonson, Hu, Huterer 2009) cascading gravity (Afshordi, Geshnizjani, Khoury 2008)

## Three Regimes

- Three regimes with different dynamics
- Examples f(R) and DGP braneworld acceleration
- Parameterized Post-Friedmann description
- Non-linear regime return to General Relativity / Newtonian dynamics



Worked Examples

## Modified Action f(R) Model

- *R*: Ricci scalar or "curvature"
- f(R): modified action (Starobinsky 1980; Carroll et al 2004)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{R + f(R)}{16\pi G} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm m} \right]$$

- $f_R \equiv df/dR$ : additional propagating scalar degree of freedom (metric variation)
- $f_{RR} \equiv d^2 f/dR^2$ : Compton wavelength of  $f_R$  squared, inverse mass squared
- *B*: Compton wavelength of  $f_R$  squared in units of the Hubble length

$$B \equiv \frac{f_{RR}}{1 + f_R} R' \frac{H}{H'}$$

•  $' \equiv d/d \ln a$ : scale factor as time coordinate

## Modified Einstein Equation

• In the Jordan frame, gravity becomes 4th order but matter remains minimally coupled and separately conserved

$$G_{\alpha\beta} + f_{R}R_{\alpha\beta} - \left(\frac{f}{2} - \Box f_{R}\right)g_{\alpha\beta} - \nabla_{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta}f_{R} = 8\pi G T_{\alpha\beta}$$

• Trace can be interpreted as a scalar field equation for  $f_R$  with a density-dependent effective potential (p = 0)

$$3\Box f_R + f_R R - 2f = R - 8\pi G\rho$$

• For small deviations,  $|f_R| \ll 1$  and  $|f/R| \ll 1$ ,

$$\Box f_R \approx \frac{1}{3} \left( R - 8\pi G \rho \right)$$

the field is sourced by the deviation from GR relation between curvature and density and has a mass

$$m_{f_R}^2 \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial R}{\partial f_R} = \frac{1}{3f_{RR}}$$

#### **DGP** Braneworld Acceleration

• Braneworld acceleration (Dvali, Gabadadze & Porrati 2000)

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{{}^{(5)}R}{2\kappa^2} + \delta(\chi) \left( \frac{{}^{(4)}R}{2\mu^2} + \mathcal{L}_m \right) \right]$$

with crossover scale  $r_c = \kappa^2/2\mu^2$ 

- Influence of bulk through Weyl tensor anisotropy solve master equation in bulk (Deffayet 2001)
- Matter still minimally coupled and conserved
- Exhibits the 3 regimes of modified gravity
- Weyl tensor anisotropy dominated conserved curvature regime  $r > r_c$  (Sawicki, Song, Hu 2006; Cardoso et al 2007)
- Brane bending scalar tensor regime  $r_* < r < r_c$  (Lue, Soccimarro, Starkman 2004; Koyama & Maartens 2006)
- Strong coupling General Relativistic regime  $r < r_* = (r_c^2 r_g)^{1/3}$ where  $r_g = 2GM$  (Dvali 2006)

### **DGP** Field Equations

• DGP field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 4r_c^2 f_{\mu\nu} - E_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $f_{\mu\nu}$  is a tensor quadratic in the 4-dimensional Einstein and energy-momentum tensors

$$f_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{12} A A_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} A^{\alpha}_{\mu} A_{\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{8} g_{\mu\nu} \left( A_{\alpha\beta} A^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{A^2}{3} \right)$$
$$A_{\mu\nu} \equiv G_{\mu\nu} - \mu^2 T_{\mu\nu}$$

and  $E_{\mu\nu}$  is the bulk Weyl tensor

• Background metric yields the modified Friedmann equation

$$H^2 \mp \frac{H}{r_c} = \frac{\mu^2 \rho}{3}$$

• For perturbations, involves solving metric perturbations in the bulk through the "master equation"

#### Into the Bulk

- Calculation of the metric ratio  $g=\Phi+\Psi/\Phi-\Psi$  requires solving for the propagation of metric fluctuations into the bulk
- Encapsulated in the off brane gradient which closes the system (e.g. normal branch  $g=-1/(2Hr_c+1)$  until deep in de Sitter)



Sawicki, Song, Hu (2007); Cardoso et al (2008)

f(R) Expansion History

# Engineering f(R) Models

- Mimic ACDM at high redshift
- Accelerate the expansion at low redshift without a cosmological constant
- Sufficient freedom to vary expansion history within observationally allowed range
- Contain the phenomenology of ACDM in both cosmology and solar system tests as a limiting case for the purposes of constraining small deviations
- Suggests

$$f(R) \propto rac{R^n}{R^n + ext{const.}}$$

such that modifications vanish as  $R \to 0$  and go to a constant as  $R \to \infty$ 

# Form of f(R) Models

- Transition from zero to constant across an adjustable curvature scale
- Slope *n* controls the rapidity of transition, field amplitude  $f_{R0}$  position
- Background curvature stops declining during acceleration epoch and thereafter behaves like cosmological constant



Hu & Sawicki (2007)

## **Expansion History**



- Effective equation of state  $w_{\text{eff}}$  scales with field amplitude  $f_{R0}$ 
  - Crosses the phantom divide at a redshift that decreases with *n*
  - Signature of degrees of freedom
    in dark energy beyond standard
    kinetic and potential energy of
    k-essence or quintessence
    or modified gravity

Hu & Sawicki (2007)

**DGP** Expansion History

## **DGP** Expansion History

- Matching the DGP expansion history to a dark energy model with the same expansion history
- Effective equation of state w(z) [ $w_0 \sim -0.85$ ,  $w_a \sim 0.35$ ]



Song, Sawicki & Hu (2006)

## **DGP** Expansion History

• Crossover scale  $r_c$  fit to SN relative distance from z=0:  $H_0D_A$ 



Song, Sawicki & Hu (2006)

#### **DGP** Normal Branch

• On the normal branch, expansion does not self-accelerate and dark energy in the form of a brane tension or scalar field necessary

$$H^2 + \frac{H}{r_c} = \frac{\mu^2}{3} (\rho_m + \rho_{\rm DE})$$

- Gravity is still modified as in the self-accelerated branch (but with attractive forces)
- Ghost free in the quantum theory
- Can choose  $\rho_{DE}$  to match any desired expansion history including flat  $\Lambda CDM$

$$H^2 \equiv \frac{\mu^2}{3}(\rho_m + \rho_\Lambda) \to \rho_{\rm DE}$$

• Separate out geometrical and dynamical tests of acceleration

## Conserved Curvature Regime

### **Curvature Conservation**

- On superhorizon scales, energy momentum conservation and expansion history constrain the evolution of metric fluctuations (Bertschinger 2006)
- For adiabatic perturbations in a flat universe, conservation of comoving curvature applies  $\zeta' = 0$  where  $' \equiv d/d \ln a$  (Bardeen 1980)
- Gauge transformation to Newtonian gauge

$$ds^{2} = -(1+2\Psi)dt^{2} + a^{2}(1+2\Phi)dx^{2}$$

yields (Hu & Eisenstein 1999)

$$\Phi'' - \Psi' - \frac{H''}{H'}\Phi' - \left(\frac{H'}{H} - \frac{H''}{H'}\right)\Psi = 0$$

Modified gravity theory supplies the closure relationship
 Φ = -γ(ln a)Ψ between and expansion history H = a/a supplies rest.

# Linear Theory for f(R)

- In f(R) model, "superhorizon" behavior persists until Compton wavelength smaller than fluctuation wavelength  $B^{1/2}(k/aH) < 1$
- Once Compton wavelength becomes larger than fluctuation

 $B^{1/2}(k/aH) > 1$ 

perturbations are in scalar-tensor regime described by  $\gamma = 1/2$ .

• Small scale density growth enhanced and

 $8\pi G\rho > R$ 

low curvature regime with order unity deviations from GR

- Transitions in the non-linear regime where the Compton wavelength can shrink via chameleon mechanism
- Given  $k_{\rm NL}/aH \gg 1$ , even very small  $f_R$  have scalar-tensor regime

# PPF f(R) Description

- Metric and matter evolution well-matched by PPF description
- Standard GR tools apply (CAMB), self-consistent, gauge invar.



Hu & Sawicki (2007); Hu (2008)

## Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect

- CMB photons transit gravitational potentials of large-scale structure
- If potential decays during transit, gravitational blueshift of infall not cancelled by gravitational redshift of exit
- Spatial curvature of gravitational potential leads to additional effect  $\Delta T/T = -\Delta(\Phi \Psi)$



## Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect

- CMB photons transit gravitational potentials of large-scale structure
- If potential decays during transit, gravitational blueshift of infall not cancelled by gravitational redshift of exit
- Spatial curvature of gravitational potential leads to additional effect  $\Delta T/T = -\Delta(\Phi \Psi)$



## ISW Quadrupole

- Reduction of large angle anisotropy for  $B_0 \sim 1$  for same expansion history and distances as  $\Lambda CDM$
- Well-tested small scale anisotropy unchanged



Song, Hu & Sawicki (2006)
#### **ISW-Galaxy** Correlation

- Decaying potential: galaxy positions correlated with CMB
- Growing potential: galaxy positions anticorrelated with CMB
- Observations indicate correlation



#### Galaxy-ISW Anti-Correlation

- Large Compton wavelength *B*<sup>1/2</sup> creates potential growth which can anti-correlate galaxies and the CMB
- In tension with detections of positive correlations across a range of redshifts



Song, Peiris & Hu (2007); Lombriser et al (2010)  $B_0 < 0.43$ 

#### **DGP** Horizon Scales

- Metric and matter evolution well-matched by PPF description
- Standard GR tools apply (CAMB), self-consistent, gauge invar.



Hu & Sawicki (2007); Hu (2008)

### DGP CMB Large-Angle Excess

- Extra dimension modify gravity on large scales
- 4D universe bending into extra dimension alters gravitational redshifts in cosmic microwave background



#### CMB in DGP

- Adding cut off as an epicycle can fix distances, ISW problem
- Suppresses polarization in violation of EE data cannot save DGP!



Fang et al (2008)

#### CMB in DGP

- Adding cut off as an epicycle can fix distances, ISW problem
- Suppresses polarization in violation of EE data cannot save DGP!



Fang et al (2008)

#### **DGP** Normal Branch

- Brane tension (cosmological constant) on normal branch allows models to pass ISW test
- Joint expansion history constraints require  $Hr_c>3$  at 95% CL



#### Linear Scalar Tensor Regime

#### Three Regimes

- Metric:  $ds^2 = -(1+2\Psi)dt^2 + a^2(1+2\Phi)dx^2$
- Superhorizon regime:  $\zeta = \text{const.}, g(a) = (\Phi + \Psi)/(\Phi \Psi)$
- Linear regime closure  $\leftrightarrow$  "smooth" dark energy density:

$$\nabla^2 (\Phi - \Psi)/2 = -4\pi G a^2 \Delta \rho$$

G can be promoted to G(a), G(a, k) but for scalar degrees of freedom conformal invariance requires  $G = G_N$  and

• Non-linear regime:

$$\nabla^2 (\Phi - \Psi)/2 = -4\pi G a^2 \Delta \rho$$
$$\nabla^2 \Psi = 4\pi G a^2 \Delta \rho + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \phi$$

with non-linearity in the field equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = g_{\rm lin}(a) a^2 \left( 8\pi G \Delta \rho - N[\phi] \right)$$

#### Linear Power Spectrum

- Linear real space power spectrum enhanced on small scales
- Degeneracy with galaxy bias and lack of non-linear predictions leave constraints from shape of power spectrum



#### **Redshift Space Distortion**

- Relationship between velocity and density field given by continuity with modified growth rate ( $f_v = dlnD/dlna$ )
- Redshift space power spectrum further distorted by Kaiser effect



#### Lensing v Dynamical Comparison

- Gravitational lensing around galaxies vs. linear velocity field (through redshift space distortions and galaxy autocorrelation)
- Consistent with GR + smooth dark energy beginning to test interesting models

 $0.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 40 \\ R \\ (h^{-1} Mpc)$ 

Reyes et al (2010); Lombriser et al (2010)

#### Zhang et al (2007); Jain & Zhang (2008)

#### **DGP** Power Spectrum

Constant suppression in the linear regime for self-acceleration



Lue, Scoccimarro, Starkman (2004); Hu & Sawicki (2007)

## Non-Linear GR Regime

#### Three Regimes

- Fully worked f(R) and DGP examples show 3 regimes
- Superhorizon regime:  $\zeta = \text{const.}, g(a)$
- Linear regime closure condition analogue of "smooth" dark energy density:

$$\nabla^2 (\Phi - \Psi)/2 = -4\pi G a^2 \Delta \rho$$
$$g(a, \mathbf{x}) \leftrightarrow g(a, k)$$

G can be promoted to G(a) but conformal invariance relates fluctuations to field fluctuation that is small

• Non-linear regime:

$$\nabla^2 (\Phi - \Psi)/2 = -4\pi G a^2 \Delta \rho$$
$$\nabla^2 \Psi = 4\pi G a^2 \Delta \rho - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \phi$$

#### Nonlinear Interaction

Non-linearity in the field equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = g_{\rm lin}(a) a^2 \left( 8\pi G \Delta \rho - N[\phi] \right)$$

recovers linear theory if  $N[\phi] \rightarrow 0$ 

• For f(R),  $\phi = f_R$  and

 $N[\phi] = \delta R(\phi)$ 

a non-linear function of the field

Llinked to gravitational potential

• For DGP,  $\phi$  is the brane-bending mode and

$$N[\phi] = \frac{r_c^2}{a^4} \left[ (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 - (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi)^2 \right]$$

a non-linear function of second derivatives of the field Linked to density fluctuation

#### Non-Linear Chameleon

• For f(R) the field equation

$$\nabla^2 f_R \approx \frac{1}{3} (\delta R(f_R) - 8\pi G \delta \rho)$$

is the non-linear equation that returns general relativity

- High curvature implies short Compton wavelength and suppressed deviations but requires a change in the field from the background value  $\delta R(f_R)$
- Change in field is generated by density perturbations just like gravitational potential so that the chameleon appears only if

$$\Delta f_R \leq rac{2}{3} \Phi$$
 ,

else required field gradients too large despite  $\delta R = 8\pi G \delta \rho$  being the local minimum of effective potential

#### **Non-Linear Dynamics**

Supplement that with the modified Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = \frac{16\pi G}{3} \delta \rho - \frac{1}{6} \delta R(f_R)$$

- Matter evolution given metric unchanged: usual motion of matter in a gravitational potential  $\Psi$
- Prescription for *N*-body code
- Particle Mesh (PM) for the Poisson equation
- Field equation is a non-linear Poisson equation: relaxation method for  $f_R$
- Initial conditions set to GR at high redshift

#### **Environment Dependent Force**

 Chameleon suppresses extra force (scalar field) in high density, deep potential regions

density: max[ln(1+ $\delta$ )] potential: min[ $\Psi$ ] field: min[ $f_R/f_{R0}$ ]

#### **Environment Dependent Force**

• For large background field, gradients in the scalar prevent the chameleon from appearing

field: min[ $f_R/f_{R0}$ ] density: max[ln(1+ $\delta$ )] potential:  $\min[\Psi]$  $f_{R0}=|10^{-6}|$  $f_{R0}=|10^{-4}|$ 

Oyaizu, Lima, Hu (2008)

#### N-body Power Spectrum

• 512<sup>3</sup> PM-relaxation code resolves the chameleon transition to GR: greatly reduced non-linear effect



Oyaizu, Lima, Hu (2008)

#### N-body Power Spectrum

• Artificially turning off the chameleon mechanism restores much of enhancement



#### N-body Power Spectrum

 Models where the chameleon absent today (large field models) show residual effects from a high redshift chameleon



Oyaizu, Lima, Hu (2008)

#### **Mass Function**

• Enhanced abundance of rare dark matter halos (clusters) with extra force



Schmidt, Lima, Oyaizu, Hu (2008)

#### **Mass Function**

 Local cluster abundance (Chandra sample) current best cosmological constraint (~4 orders of magnitude better than ISW)



Schmidt, Vikhlinin, Hu (2009)

#### Halo Bias

• Halos at a fixed mass less rare and less highly biased



#### Halo Mass Correlation

• Enhanced forces vs lower bias



Schmidt, Lima, Oyaizu, Hu (2008)

#### Halo Model

Power spectrum trends also consistent with halos and modified collapse



#### **Nonlinear Interaction**

Non-linearity in the field equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = g_{\rm lin}(a) a^2 \left( 8\pi G \Delta \rho - N[\phi] \right)$$

recovers linear theory if  $N[\phi] \rightarrow 0$ 

• For f(R),  $\phi = f_R$  and

 $N[\phi] = \delta R(\phi)$ 

a non-linear function of the field

Llinked to gravitational potential

• For DGP,  $\phi$  is the brane-bending mode and

$$N[\phi] = \frac{r_c^2}{a^4} \left[ (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 - (\nabla_i \nabla_j \phi)^2 \right]$$

a non-linear function of second derivatives of the field Linked to density fluctuation

#### DGP N-Body

• DGP nonlinear derivative interaction solved by relaxation revealing the Vainshtein mechanism

#### Newtonian Potential

#### Brane Bending Mode





Schmidt (2009); Chan & Scoccimarro (2009) (cf. Khoury & Wyman 2009)

## **Apparent Equivalence Prinicple Violation**

 Self-field of a "test mass" can saturate an external field (for *f*(*R*) in the gradient, for DGP in the second derivatives)



### Summary

- Lessons from the f(R) and DGP worked examples 3 regimes:
  - large scales: conservation determined
  - intermediate scales: scalar-tensor
  - small scales: GR in high density regions, modified in low
- Large scales: expansion history and metric ratio  $g = (\Phi + \Psi)/(\Phi \Psi)$  through curvature conservation
- Intermediate scales: scalar tensor modified Newtonian regime, *g* and Poisson equation
- Small scales: nonlinear interaction of modification field makes *g* depend on local environment (not scale) density or potential suppressing deviations
- *N*-body (PM-relaxation) simulations show halo model framework can describe observables in the nonlinear regime

# Solar System Tests



#### Solar Profile

- Density profile of Sun is not a constant density sphere interior photosphere, chromosphere, corona
- Density drops by ~25 orders of magnitude does curvature follow?



#### Field Solution

- Field solution smoothly relaxes from exterior value to high curvature interior value  $f_R \sim 0$ , minimizing potential + kinetic
- Juncture is where thin-shell criterion is satisfied  $|\Delta f_R| \sim \Delta \Phi$



#### Solar Curvature

- Curvature drops suddenly as field moves slightly from zero
- Enters into low curvature regime where  $R < 8\pi G\rho$


## Solar System Constraint

- Cassini constraint on PPN |γ-1|<2.3x10-5
- Easily satisfied if galactic field is at potential minimum  $|f_{Rg}| < 4.9 \times 10^{-11}$
- Allows even order unity cosmological fields

